Gary Mack
The Sixth Floor
411 Elm St.,
Dallas, TX 75202-3301

10/23/95

Dear Gary,

Among the things that came to mind as I read the undated Livingstone attack on you and on your name is the possibility that his name began as Lebenstein. Based on what he'd said about himself and his family that is the conclusion Peggy Rosohm Adler reached some time ago.

The man is crazy but he believes that all those who do not share his craziness are the crazy ones.

I do not know the law but I think that you'd have to file a defamation suit in Maryland unless it is for enough to meet the federal ~~acount~~ court requirements. Years ago that was I heard $10,000. But if you win in Texas then you'd still have the problem of collecting from him in Maryland.

There are as I recall four more references to the police, meaning only the Baltimore police, working with him. I think you might have a chance with the covering up Baltimore police. Their internal affairs has been covering up for him and for Waybright but he continue to say that the Baltimore police are working for him and in Dallas when they are probibited this kind of work on their own. They covered that up once and may again but then again they may not with more dumped on them about Waybright. Beside which if they ignore it a letter to the mayor might be embarrassing enough to force them to do something. Artwohl can tell you that he wrote a letter complaining about him on Baltimore police stationery. He told me that.

I do not recall all he said about me but what I do recall is lies and he never checked anything with me.

He talks about your right-wingers down there yet he took the lies he got from Rothermel and Curington as the truth and again made no effort to check.

I can only wonder what kind of famed international reporter would go for his stuff.

As a practical matter I think there is little we can do about him. If I were younger and in better health I'd have sued him for libel. But if you or your people ever want to do anything, I've quite a bit you would find helpful.

The real problem is that he and Waybright are capable of violence.

He says Rookstool helped him. Is Rookstool still there?

What can he be talking about in saying that the FBI used B. Oliver's alleged film?

One thing that might help is catching Waybright representing that he is there for the Balt. police. Or Harry saying they work with him. Your ~~p.s.~~ P.d. might not like that, as Balt's should not. Don't tell him of me if you call him but maybe Paul Valentine, of the WxPost in Baltimore, might get interested in that business of the police actually

workinv with and investigating for him in Dallas and his representation that he is of the police and they are working with him, amply recorded. He has often written that he is the police and they should not like that if compelled to face it.

Catch him doing that in Dallas and the Dallas police would have grounds for doing something. That could include a sanity check.

He quotes Jerry Rose as telling him he would not let the pages of his rag be used for personal attacks. (page 9). Yet look what he published about me recently, from Livingstone. I'be not heard from him since I sent him a respone.

If my voice tells you I'm strong it deceives you. Or is the only part-time strong part I have.

Thanks and best,

Harold

What would be nice and do much good about this arrant insanity and the gross commercialism of it would be if the Zapruder estate sued Livingstone, in Maryland or the District, and ~~going~~ INCLUDING his publisher in it. If that can be done they'd not have to sue in New York, which would require New York counsel. They could sue on their own turf.

I informed C & G fully and with an abundance of documents, mostly his writing, well before his Killing the Truth appeared. I also told them he is crazy. Their lawyer was a dear ~~frxxx~~ friend. They knew and they did not checking, had no peer review, etc. I wrote Kent Carroll and sent him prints of the back of the head, the frames I told you about, and got no response and certainly no questions. I think that alone could nail them in a lawsuit over it. They were on notice and published anyway if that remains in this new book. The checking to determine whether or not what he says is true, even possible, would have been no problem at all. I think this amounts to the intent to do the estate harm. My would it do good about the infestation of the crazies, those who are crazy and those who are not, if they did sue! Would I and could I help them!!!